



PATENT

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR ORIGINAL, DESIGN, NATIONAL STAGE OF PCT, SUPPLEMENTAL, DIVISIONAL, CONTINUATION OR CONTINUATION-IN-PART APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name,

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint

on the invention entit	nes are liste led:	od below) of the subject	ect matter which is claimed	and for which a patent is sought
METHOD FOR II	N VITRO P	ROLIFERATION O	F DENDRITIC CELL PR	ECURSORS AND
THEIR USE TO I	RODUCE	IMMUNOGENS		
the specification of w	hich			
a. [] is a	tached here	eto :		
b. [X] wa	s filed on _	<u>June 17, 1994</u> as ap	pplication Serial No. <u>08/2</u> applicable).	61,537 and was amended
	PCT FIL	ED APPLICATION	ENTERING NATIONAL	STAGE
c. [] was	described a aded on	nd claimed in Interna	ational Application No	filed on and as
I hereby state that I here	ve reviewe y any amen	d and understand the dment referred to abo	contents of the above-ider	ntified specification, including the
I acknowledge the dut with Title 37, Code o	y to disclose F Federal R	information which is egulations, § 1.56(a)	material to the examinatio	n of this application in accordance
application(s) for pate	ht or invent	or's certificate listed l	below and have also identif	tates Code § 119 of any foreign fied below any foreign application ion on which priority is claimed:
[] The attac of this declaration.	ned 35 U.S	.C. § 119 claim for p	priority for the U.S. applic	ation(s) listed below forms a part
• •	ication umber	Date of filing (day, month, yr)	Date of issue (day, month, yr)	Priority Claimed
				[]YES[]NO
				[] YES [] NO
				[]YES[]NO

88914_1

Docket No. <u>2016-4000 US3</u>

Pending

Status (patented, pending, abandoned)

ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS FOR DIVISIONAL, CONTINUATION OR CONTINUATION-IN-PART

I hereby claim the bedefit under Title 35, United States Code § 120 of any United States application(s) listed below. 07/861.6 <u> April 1, 1992</u> Abandoned Application Serial No. Filing Date Status (patented, pending, abandoned) 07/981.3*5*17 November 25, 1992 <u>Abandoned</u> Application Serial No. Filing Date Status (patented, pending, abandoned) 08/040.67

March 31, 1993

Filing Date

Application Serial No.

[X] In this continuation-in-part application, insofar as the subject matter of any of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or Imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

I hereby appoint the tollowing attorneys and/or agents with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application, to receive the patent, and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith: Jerome G. Lee (Reg. No. 16,967), John D. Foley (Reg. No. 16,836), John A. Diaz (Reg. No. 19,550), Thomas P. Dowling (Reg. No. 19,221), John C. Vassil (Reg. No. 19,098), Warren H. Rotert (Reg. No. 19,659), Alfred P. Ewert (Reg. No. 19,887), David H. Pfeffer, P.C. (Reg. No. 19,825), Harry C. Marcus (Reg. No. 22,390), Robert E. Paulson (Reg. No. 21,046), Stephen R. Smith (Reg. No. 22,615), Kurt E. Richter (Reg. No. 24,052), J. Robert Dailey (Reg. No. 27,434), Eugene Moroz (Reg. No. 25,237), John F. Sweeney (Reg. No. 27,471), Arnold I. Rady (Reg. No. 26,601), Christopher A. Hughes (Reg. No. 26,914), William S. Feiler (Reg. No. 26,728), Joseph A. Calvaruso (Reg. No. 28,287), James W. Gould (Reg. No. 28,859), Richard C. Komson (Reg. No. 27,913), Israel Blum (Reg. No. 26,710), Bartholomew Verdirame (Reg. No. 28,483), Maria C. H. Lin (Reg. No. 29,323), Joseph A. DeGirolamo (Reg. No. 28,595) and Christopher E. Chalsen (Reg. No. 30,936) of Morgan & Finnegan whose address is: 345 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10154.

[] I hereby authorize the U.S. attorneys and/or agents named hereinabove to accept and follow instructions as to any action to be taken in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office regarding this application without direct communication between the U.S. attorneys and/or agents and me. In the event of a change in the person(s) from whom instructions may be taken I will so notify the U.S. attorneys and/or agents named hereinabove.

Docket No. <u>2016-4000 US3</u>

I hereby specify the to be directed:	following as the correspondence address to which all communicati	ions about this application are
SEND CO	RESPONDENCE TO:	
MORGAN	& FINNEGAN, 345 Park Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10154	
DIRECT T (212) 758-4	ELEPHONE CALLS TO: Kenneth H. Sonnenfeld, Esq. 800	· .
	1-00	
Full name of sole or	first inventor RALPH M. STEINMAN	
Inventor's signature		Sept 13, 1994
Residence 62 Nort	date h Avenue, Westport, CT 06889	
Citizenship <u>United</u>	States of America	
	62 North Avenue, Westport, CT 06889	
	2772	
Full name of second	joint inventor, if any KAYO INABA	
Inventor's signature	,	S 1 > .09//
_	date	Sapat 27 1994
Residence <u>lanaka-</u>	Higashihinokuchi-cho 11-2, Sakyo, Kyoto 606, Japan	
Citizenship Japan	3 7	
Post Office Address	Tanaka-Higashihinokuchi-chu 11-2, Sakyo, Kyoto 606, Japan	
[X] ATTACHE SIGNATUR	IS ADDED PAGE TO COMBINED DECLARATION AND PO BY THIRD AND SUBSEQUENT INVENTORS FORM.	OWER OF ATTORNEY FOR
Before signing this	s declaration, each person signing must:	
1. Re	ew the declaration and verify the correctness of all information	therein; and
2. Re	view the specification and the claims, including any amendments	made to the claims.
After the de	daration is signed, the specification and claims are not to be alt	ered.
To the inventor(s): The followi	ng are cited in or pertinent to the declaration attached to the accorderal Regulation, \$1.56	
#R914 1	-3-	

Docket No. 2016-4000 US3

ADDED PAGE TO COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR SIGNATURE BY THIRD AND SUBSEQUENT INVENTORS

3-00	
Full name of third joint inventor, if anyGEROLD SCHULER	
Inventor's signature*	22nd Segot
,	date
Residence Innraia 100/89, A-6020 Innsbruck, Austria	
Citizenship Austria	77-74-74-44-4
Post Office Address Innrain 100/89, A-6020 Innsbruck, Austria	
Full name of fourth joint inventor, if any	
Inventor's signature*	
Residence	date
Citizenship	
Post Office Address	
Full name of fifth joint inventor, if any	
Inventor's signature*	
Residence	date
Citizenship	
Post Office Address	
Before signing this declaration, each person signing must:	

- 1. Review the declaration and verify the correctness of all information therein; and
- 2. Review the specification and the claims, including any amendments made to the claims.

After the declaration is signed, the specification and claims are not to be altered.

FORM: ADDED-PG DEC

Rev. 1/1/93

Title 37. Code of Federal Regulation, \$1.56

Duty to disclose information material to patentability

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this sect on. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

(1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and

(2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

Title 35. U.S. Code \$ 101

Inventions patentable

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Title 35 U.S. Code \$ 102

Conditions for patentability; novelty and loss of right to patent

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this country, more than one year prior to the date of the application for patent in the United States, or

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States, or

(c) he has abandoned the invention, or

(d) the invention was first patented or caused to be patented, or was the subject of an inventor's certificate, by the applicant or his legal representatives or assigns in a foreign country prior to the date of the application for patent in this country on an application for patent or inventor's certificate filed more than twelve months before the filing of the application in the United States, or

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or

(f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented, or

(g) before the applicant's invention thereof the invention was made in this country by another who had not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed it. In determining priority of invention there shall be considered not only the respective dates of conception and reduction to practice of the invention, but also the reasonable diligence of one who was first to conceive and last to reduce to practice, from a time prior to conception by the other ...

Title 35, U.S. Code \$ 103

Conditions for patentability; non-obvious subject matter

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Title 35, U.S. Code 112 (in part)

Specification

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Title 35, U.S. Code, § 119

Benefit of earlier filing date in foreign country; right of priority

An application for patent for an invention filed in this country by any person who has, or whose legal representatives or assigns have, previously regularly filed an application for a patent for the same invention in a foreign country which affords similar privileges in the case of applications filed in the United States or to citizens of the United States, shall have the same effect as the same application would have if filed in this country on the date on which the application for patent for the same invention was first filed in such foreign country, if the application in this country is filed within twelve months from the earliest date on which such foreign application was filed; but no patent shall be granted on any application for patent for an invention which had been patented or described in a printed publication in any country more than one year before the date of the actual filing of the application in this country, or which had been in public use or on sale in this country more than one year prior to such filing.

Title 35, U.S. Code, § 120

Benefit or earlier filing date in the United States

An application for patent for an invention disclosed in the manner provided by the first paragraph of section 112 of this title in an application previously filed in the United States, or as provided by section 363 of this title, which is filed by an inventor or inventors named in the previously filed application shall have the same effect, as to such invention, as though filed on the date of the prior application, if filed before the patenting or abandonment of or termination of proceedings on the first application or an application similarly entitled to the benefit of the filing date of the first application and if it contains or is amended to contain a specific reference to the earlier filed application.

Please read carefully before signing the Declaration attached to the accompanying Application.

If you have any questions, please contact Morgan & Finnegan

FORM: COMB-DEC NY

Rev. 1/1/93

- 5 -

EB914_1